

**ANNUAL STATISTICAL REPORT FOR ANIMALS USED IN
IRELAND UNDER SCIENTIFIC ANIMAL PROTECTION
LEGISLATION**

2015

CONTENTS

1. Introduction
2. Summary
3. Results
 - 3.1 Species and numbers of naïve animals used in procedures
 - 3.2 Species and numbers of uses of animals in procedures
 - 3.3 Origin of animals
 - 3.4 Species and classification of severity
 - 3.5 Animal species and project purpose
 - 3.6 Animals used for regulatory use and other routine production purposes
4. Conclusion

Appendix: Definitions

1. INTRODUCTION

The Health Products Regulatory Authority (HPRA) is the state agency with responsibility for regulating human and veterinary medicines, medical devices and other health products. From 1 January 2013, an EU Directive¹ to protect animals used for scientific purposes came into effect in Ireland. In January 2013, the HPRA became the competent authority responsible for the Directive's implementation, and thus has been publishing statistical data on animals used from 2013 onwards.

The Directive is among the world's most advanced pieces of legislation concerning animal welfare. The restrictions and standards set by the Directive aim to enhance animal welfare and ensure that animals are used in studies only when their use is strongly justified and following independent assessment. The Directive firmly anchors in EU Legislation the 3Rs, i.e.

Replacement, Reduction and Refinement:

- **Replacement** means that 'alternative' methods are to be used where possible instead of live animals. Examples of alternative methods would include *in vitro* tests such as the use of cell lines, computer simulation and modelling, video material, or the use of invertebrates such as fruit flies or worms.
- **Reduction** means that it must be ensured that the *appropriate* number of animals is used for *each* project. This allows scientists to obtain statistically robust data without using more animals than are necessary.
- **Refinement** means that animals used are provided with the best possible care and that suffering is reduced to an absolute minimum. Refinement techniques would include, for example, careful handling by trained individuals, the provision of high standards of housing and husbandry to include enrichment materials (e.g. toys and nesting material) and the appropriate use of anaesthesia and pain relief during procedures.

Although complete replacement of animal studies is the ultimate goal of the Directive, this is not currently possible. Where biological processes are not sufficiently understood or are very complex, non-animal research or test methods are often not available. After generating as much information as possible using non-animal alternatives, animal studies can be necessary to fill knowledge gaps in order to safeguard human, animal and environmental health. However, the Directive is a significant tool to protect those animals that are still required.

¹ Directive 2010/63/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 September 2010 on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes

The HPRA regulates the sector by means of authorisation at three levels:

1. **Breeder/supplier/user establishments:** Breeders and suppliers of animals, as well as establishments where procedures are performed, must be authorised and are subject to HPRA inspections, including unannounced inspections. In 2015, the HPRA performed 29 inspections, and was satisfied with the overall level of care and welfare being provided to the animals in the breeder/supplier/user establishments.
2. **Projects:** Scientific procedures involving animals can only take place following a detailed submission of the planned study and subsequent approval by the HPRA on the basis of a favourable harm/benefit analysis.
3. **Individuals:** Any person wishing to carry out scientific procedures involving animals, as well as project managers and those conducting euthanasia in an authorised establishment, must be adequately trained to do so and hold a HPRA individual authorisation.

The HPRA aims to improve the welfare of animals used for scientific purposes and to promote the principles of the 3Rs. Every application received for a project involving animals is subject to a detailed evaluation process based on the 3Rs and requires scientific justification for the research techniques being applied. The likely impact on the animals must be minimised as far as possible by applying refinements and any harms experienced by the animals must be outweighed by the expected benefits of the work. The HPRA checks whether alternative (non-animal) methods are available or appropriate, as alternatives to the use of live animals must be used where possible.

The objective of this report is to present statistical data on the number of animals used for scientific purposes in Ireland during 2015 in accordance with Article 54(2) of the Directive. This is the third report to be prepared by the HPRA since it became the competent authority for the protection of animals used for scientific purposes. The Department of Health published all reports in this area prior to 2013 and any project which was originally authorised by the Department of Health continues in force until the expiry of the authorisation in question. This may take up to five years (i.e. until the end of December 2017).

As in previous years, the data provided are based on self-declarations by the establishments concerned. The methodology and legal basis for the requirements for data collection were substantially changed with the introduction of the Directive in 2013. For example:

- Previous data (i.e. prior to 2013) reported only on the first use of each animal, whereas this report includes any subsequent uses of the same animals.
- Each use of an animal must now be assigned to a specific category outlined by the legislation, e.g. basic research, translational research, regulatory use etc.
- The breeding of genetically altered animal lines was not required to be included in previous reporting years and this is now a requirement of the legislation.
- The actual severity experienced by the animals must now be reported under four categories: non-recovery, mild, moderate or severe.

This new format meets the requirements for a European database which has been developed by the EU Commission.

2. SUMMARY

- a) In 2015, a total of 226,393 naïve animals (not previously used in procedures) were used in procedures, and including animals that were reused, there were a total of 228,975 uses of animals for procedures.
- b) Of the total number of naïve animals (226,393), 15,476 were genetically altered, which represents 7% of all animals used. Of these genetically altered animals, 96% did not have a harmful phenotype (i.e. there was no impairment to their well-being from the genetic alteration).
- c) Of the total number of uses of animals in procedures (228,975), some 157,872 were used for 'Regulatory and other routine production purposes' which is a necessary requirement (under EU law) to test the safety, quality and potency of medicines (e.g. biological medicines such as vaccines). The vast majority of these tests (94%) were for toxicity and other safety testing including pharmacology, of which 99.6% were mice used for acute and sub-acute toxicity tests.

Note: The following species have not been included in the tables in this document as they were not used in Ireland in 2015:

- Hamsters (Syrian)
- Hamsters (Chinese)
- Mongolian gerbil
- Other rodents
- Other carnivores
- Reptiles
- Rana
- Other amphibians
- Cephalopods
- Non-human primates

3. RESULTS

3.1 Species and numbers of naïve animals

Table 1 shows the number of naïve animals (used for the first time) used in procedures that were completed in 2015. Mice (84%) were by far the most commonly used species. It should be noted that for uses involving dogs and cats, the studies conducted were for research into the development of veterinary medicines, which is expected to be of benefit to those species. Farm animals such as cattle, sheep and pigs were mainly used for agricultural research, and the majority of birds and fish used were for tagging procedures for wildlife monitoring/conservation purposes.

Table 1: Numbers of naïve animals used in procedures by species

| Animal Species | Number of Animals | % |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----|
| Mice | 190585 | 84% |
| Rats | 9876 | 4% |
| Guinea-Pigs | 1929 | <1% |
| Rabbits | 1343 | <1% |
| Cats | 76 | <1% |
| Dogs | 122 | <1% |
| Ferrets | 621 | <1% |
| Horses, donkeys & cross-breeds | 112 | <1% |
| Pigs | 2840 | 1% |
| Goats | 71 | <1% |
| Sheep | 1112 | <1% |
| Cattle | 10420 | 5% |
| Other Mammals | 20 | <1% |
| Domestic fowl | 113 | <1% |
| Other birds | 572 | <1% |
| Xenopus | 420 | <1% |
| Zebra fish | 1489 | <1% |
| Other Fish | 4672 | 2% |
| Total | 226393 | |

3.2 Species and numbers of uses of animals

Table 2 shows the number of *uses* of animals in procedures, rather than the total numbers of animals used (as shown in Table 1). It shows both the first, and all the subsequent uses of the animals that were completed in the year 2015. The number of uses of animals exceeds the number of new animals used because it includes reuse. The species most frequently 'reused' are farm animals, dogs and cats.

Table 2: Numbers of uses of animals by species

| Animal Species | Number of Animals | % |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----|
| Mice | 190585 | 83% |
| Rats | 9876 | 4% |
| Guinea-Pigs | 1929 | <1% |
| Rabbits | 2490 | 1% |
| Cats | 164 | <1% |
| Dogs | 587 | <1% |
| Ferrets | 621 | <1% |
| Horses, donkeys & cross-breeds | 127 | <1% |
| Pigs | 2840 | 1% |
| Goats | 71 | <1% |
| Sheep | 1112 | <1% |
| Cattle | 11287 | 5% |
| Other Mammals | 20 | <1% |
| Domestic fowl | 113 | <1% |
| Other birds | 572 | <1% |
| Xenopus | 420 | <1% |
| Zebra fish | 1489 | <1% |
| Other Fish | 4672 | 2% |
| Total | 228975 | |

3.3 Origin of animals

Table 3 shows the birthplace of naïve animals used in procedures. 99% of all animals were born in the EU. In accordance with the legislation, animal species listed in Annex I to the Directive (e.g. rodents, cats and dogs) must be obtained from a registered breeder unless an exemption is granted by the HPRA. Animals born outside a registered breeder include wild animals and farm animals.

Table 3: Place of birth of all naïve animals

| Animal species | Animals born in the EU at a registered breeder | Animals born in the EU but not at a registered breeder | Animals born in rest of Europe | Animals born in rest of the world | Total |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|
| Mice | 189311 | 1140 | | 134 | 190585 |
| Rats | 9782 | 70 | | 24 | 9876 |
| Guinea-Pigs | 1929 | | | | 1929 |
| Rabbits | 1263 | 80 | | | 1343 |
| Cats | 76 | | | | 76 |
| Dogs | 122 | | | | 122 |
| Ferrets | 621 | | | | 621 |
| Horses, donkeys & cross-breeds | | 112 | | | 112 |
| Pigs | 64 | 2776 | | | 2840 |
| Goats | 4 | 67 | | | 71 |
| Sheep | 292 | 820 | | | 1112 |

| Animal species | Animals born in the EU at a registered breeder | Animals born in the EU but not at a registered breeder | Animals born in rest of Europe | Animals born in rest of the world | Total |
|----------------|--|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|
| Cattle | 2255 | 8165 | | | 10420 |
| Other Mammals | | 20 | | | 20 |
| Domestic fowl | 110 | 3 | | | 113 |
| Other birds | 2 | 215 | 129 | 226 | 572 |
| Xenopus | 409 | | | 11 | 420 |
| Zebra fish | 1489 | | | | 1489 |
| Other Fish | 1858 | 2014 | | 800 | 4672 |
| Total | 209587 | 15482 | 129 | 1195 | 226393 |

3.4 Species and classification of severity

Table 4 shows the reported actual severity experienced by the animals during procedures, grouped by species. Overall, 2% of animals were involved in procedures that were classified as non-recovery, 50% were classified as mild, 22% were moderate and 27% were severe. This is a reduction in severe procedures from the 2014 data, when 40% were reported as severe. Of the animals that were involved in severe procedures in 2015, 99% were mice. It should be noted that animals involved in procedures classified as 'severe' cannot be reused.

Table 4: Classification of actual severity

| Animal species | Non-recovery | Mild | Moderate | Severe | Total |
|--------------------------------|--------------|--------|----------|--------|--------|
| Mice | 1368 | 84510 | 43251 | 61456 | 190585 |
| Rats | 1664 | 2946 | 4715 | 551 | 9876 |
| Guinea-Pigs | 695 | 1234 | | | 1929 |
| Rabbits | | 1863 | 617 | 10 | 2490 |
| Cats | | 29 | 135 | | 164 |
| Dogs | | 201 | 386 | | 587 |
| Ferrets | | 621 | | | 621 |
| Horses, donkeys & cross-breeds | | 119 | 8 | | 127 |
| Pigs | 21 | 2717 | 100 | 2 | 2840 |
| Goats | | | 71 | | 71 |
| Sheep | | 1106 | 6 | | 1112 |
| Cattle | | 11200 | 86 | 1 | 11287 |
| Other Mammals | | 20 | | | 20 |
| Domestic fowl | 28 | 85 | | | 113 |
| Other birds | | 572 | | | 572 |
| Xenopus | | 37 | 171 | 212 | 420 |
| Zebra fish | | 1489 | | | 1489 |
| Other Fish | 2 | 4497 | 159 | 14 | 4672 |
| Total | 3778 | 113246 | 49705 | 62246 | 228975 |

3.5 Animal species and project purpose

Table 5 shows the general project purposes for which animals were used based on species. It shows both the first and all subsequent uses of the animals completed in the year 2015. The most common purpose at 69% was 'Regulatory use and routine production'. This includes animals used in procedures for pre-clinical safety testing of medicines or safety testing for possible pollutants, as well as studies on the quality and potency of production batches of certain categories of medicines (e.g. those of biological origin). The next most common purpose was 'Basic research' at 18%. Basic research is fundamental research performed to improve understanding of the structure, functioning and behaviour of living organisms and the environment. 'Translational and applied research', which is research conducted for the benefit of human or animal health (e.g. in the development of medicines), accounted for 10% of procedures.

Table 6 (broken into two separate parts) shows the breakdown of the categories of 'Basic research' purposes by species, the most common purpose being research involving the immune system at 31%, followed by research into ethology/animal behaviour/animal biology at 22%. Immune system research involves studies that look at particular cells in the immune system, such as the cells involved in autoimmune diseases and cancer. The majority of animals used for ethology/animal behaviour and animal biology were cattle, in studies investigating reproduction and fertility in Irish cows.

Table 7 (also broken into two separate parts) shows the breakdown of the categories of 'Translational and applied research' purposes by species. The most common category was animal diseases and disorders at 44%, followed by human nervous and mental disorders at 18%. Cattle accounted for the majority of animals used for research into animal diseases and disorders, which included, for example, studies investigating diseases of newborn calves. The research into human nervous and mental disorders in Ireland included research into treatments for diseases such as epilepsy and autism.

Table 5: Uses of animals by general project purpose and species

| Animal species | Basic Research | Translational and applied research | Regulatory use and Routine production | Protection of the natural environment in the interests of the health or welfare of human beings or animals | Higher education or training for the acquisition, maintenance or improvement of vocational skills | Maintenance of colonies of established genetically altered animals, not used in other procedures | Total |
|--------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|---------------|
| Mice | 22506 | 9628 | 153730 | | 153 | 4568 | 190585 |
| Rats | 5997 | 2562 | 1263 | | 54 | | 9876 |
| Guinea-Pigs | | 949 | 980 | | | | 1929 |
| Rabbits | 12 | 1869 | 609 | | | | 2490 |
| Cats | | 152 | 12 | | | | 164 |
| Dogs | | 547 | 40 | | | | 587 |
| Ferrets | | | 621 | | | | 621 |
| Horses, donkeys & cross-breeds | 90 | 9 | 16 | | 12 | | 127 |
| Pigs | 1699 | 1114 | 18 | | 9 | | 2840 |
| Goats | | 68 | 3 | | | | 71 |
| Sheep | 691 | 369 | | | 52 | | 1112 |
| Cattle | 7246 | 3911 | 21 | | 109 | | 11287 |
| Other Mammals | | 20 | | | | | 20 |
| Domestic fowl | 3 | 110 | | | | | 113 |
| Other birds | 570 | 2 | | | | | 572 |
| Xenopus | 420 | | | | | | 420 |
| Zebra fish | 1414 | 75 | | | | | 1489 |
| Other Fish | 1699 | 1599 | 559 | 815 | | | 4672 |
| Total | 42347 | 22984 | 157872 | 815 | 389 | 4568 | 228975 |

Table 6 (part 1): Uses of animals for basic research by species and category

| Animal species | Oncology | Cardiovascular Blood and Lymphatic System | Nervous System | Respiratory System | Gastrointestinal System including Liver | Musculoskeletal System | Immune System |
|--------------------------------|----------|---|----------------|--------------------|---|------------------------|---------------|
| Mice | 1058 | 119 | 3293 | 433 | 2558 | 153 | 12967 |
| Rats | | 305 | 5249 | 40 | 72 | 113 | 198 |
| Rabbits | | | | | | 12 | |
| Horses, donkeys & cross-breeds | | | | | | | |
| Pigs | 3 | 5 | | | | | |
| Goats | | | | | | | |
| Sheep | | | | | 249 | | |
| Cattle | | | | | 64 | | |
| Domestic fowl | | | | | | | 3 |
| Other birds | | | | | | | |
| Xenopus | | | | | | | |
| Zebra fish | | | | | | | |
| Other Fish | | | | | | | |
| Cephalopods | | | | | | | |
| Total | 1061 | 429 | 8542 | 473 | 2943 | 278 | 13168 |
| % | 3% | 1% | 20% | 1% | 7% | <1% | 31% |

Table 6 (part 2): Uses of animals for basic research by species and category

| Animal species | Urogenital/Reproductive System | Sensory Organs (skin, eyes and ears) | Endocrine System/Metabolism | Multisystemic | Ethology / Animal Behaviour /Animal Biology | Total |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|---|-------|
| Mice | 40 | 1123 | 573 | 167 | 22 | 22506 |
| Rats | | | | 20 | | 5997 |
| Rabbits | | | | | | 12 |
| Horses, donkeys & cross-breeds | | | | | 90 | 90 |
| Pigs | | | | | 1691 | 1699 |
| Goats | | | | | | |
| Sheep | | | | | 442 | 691 |
| Cattle | 2338 | | 5 | | 4839 | 7246 |
| Domestic fowl | | | | | | 3 |
| Other birds | | | | | 570 | 570 |
| Xenopus | | 420 | | | | 420 |
| Zebra fish | | | | 1414 | | 1414 |
| Other Fish | | | | | 1699 | 1699 |
| Cephalopods | | | | | | |
| Total | 2378 | 1543 | 578 | 1601 | 9353 | 42347 |
| % | 6% | 4% | 1% | 4% | 22% | |

Table 7 (part 1): Uses of animals for translational and applied research by species and category

| Animal species | Human Cancer | Human Infectious Disorders | Human Cardiovascular Disorders | Human Nervous and Mental Disorders | Human Respiratory Disorders | Human Gastrointestinal Disorders including Liver | Human Musculoskeletal Disorders | Human Immune Disorders |
|--------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| Mice | 1134 | 1413 | 779 | 2786 | 317 | 3 | 1494 | 481 |
| Rats | | | 159 | 1387 | 245 | 297 | 186 | 53 |
| Guinea-Pigs | | | | | | | | |
| Rabbits | | | | | | | 24 | |
| Cats | | | | | | | | |
| Dogs | | | | | | | | |
| Horses, donkeys & cross-breeds | | | | | | | 8 | |
| Pigs | | | 46 | | | | | 6 |
| Goats | | | | | | | 64 | |
| Sheep | | | 18 | | | | | |
| Cattle | | | | | | | | |
| Other Mammals | | | | | | | | |
| Domestic fowl | | | | | | | | |
| Other birds | | | | | | | | |
| Zebra fish | 75 | | | | | | | |
| Other Fish | | | | | | | | |
| Cephalopods | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 1209 | 1413 | 1002 | 4173 | 562 | 300 | 1776 | 540 |
| % | 5% | 6% | 4% | 18% | 2% | 1% | 8% | 2% |

Table 7 (part 2): Uses of animals for translational and applied research by species and category

| Animal species | Human Sensory Organ Disorders (skin, eyes and ears) | Human Endocrine/Metabolism Disorders | Animal Diseases and Disorders | Animal Welfare | Diagnosis of diseases | Total |
|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------|
| Mice | 1029 | 65 | | | 127 | 9628 |
| Rats | 91 | 64 | | | 80 | 2562 |
| Guinea-Pigs | | | 949 | | | 949 |
| Rabbits | 3 | 10 | 1832 | | | 1869 |
| Cats | | | 152 | | | 152 |
| Dogs | | | 547 | | | 547 |
| Horses, donkeys & cross-breeds | | | 1 | | | 9 |
| Pigs | 10 | | 1046 | | 6 | 1114 |
| Goats | | | 4 | | | 68 |
| Sheep | | | 111 | 240 | | 369 |
| Cattle | | | 3863 | | 48 | 3911 |
| Other Mammals | | | 20 | | | 20 |
| Domestic fowl | | | | | 110 | 110 |
| Other birds | | | | | 2 | 2 |
| Zebra fish | | | | | | 75 |
| Other Fish | | | 1599 | | | 1599 |
| Cephalopods | | | | | | |
| Total | 1133 | 139 | 10124 | 240 | 373 | 22984 |
| % | 5% | <1% | 44% | 1% | 2% | |

3.6 Animals used for regulatory use and other routine production purposes

Table 8 breaks down the types of tests performed for 'Regulatory purposes and other routine production purposes' by species, showing that toxicity and other safety testing including pharmacology was the most commonly performed category of test. It should be noted that the dogs and cats were solely used for the development of veterinary medicines (pharmacokinetics and target animal safety), and not for toxicity testing.

Table 8: Uses of animals by regulatory purpose and species

| Animal species | Quality control (incl batch safety and potency testing) | Other efficacy and tolerance testing | Toxicity and other safety testing including pharmacology | Routine production | Total |
|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------|--------|
| Mice | 5062 | 608 | 148060 | | 153730 |
| Rats | 1263 | | | | 1263 |
| Guinea-Pigs | 980 | | | | 980 |
| Rabbits | 609 | | | | 609 |
| Cats | | | 12 | | 12 |
| Dogs | | | 40 | | 40 |
| Ferrets | 621 | | | | 621 |
| Horses, donkeys & cross-breeds | 2 | 14 | | | 16 |
| Pigs | | 18 | | | 18 |
| Goats | 3 | | | | 3 |
| Sheep | | | | | |
| Cattle | 21 | | | | 21 |
| Other Fish | | | 559 | | 559 |
| Total | 8561 | 640 | 148671 | | 157872 |
| % | 5% | <1% | 94% | 0% | |

4. CONCLUSION

In the year 2015, there were 228,975 reported uses of animals in procedures in Ireland. This is a 1% increase on the number of uses reported for 2014 and follows a 19% reduction between 2013 and 2014. These figures highlight the difficulty in observing clear trends at this point with data available for only three years under the new reporting system. Nevertheless, there does appear to have been an overall reduction in severity during 2015, with a 31% drop in procedures reported as severe when compared to the 2014 report.

The HPRA will continue to work to reduce severe suffering, and place the emphasis of its regulatory remit on the application of the 3Rs (Replacement, Reduction and Refinement). In addition, the HPRA will continue to apply its influence and judgement in all applications to ensure that studies are only permitted where there is no alternative research technique available and the expected benefits outweigh any possible harms.

APPENDIX: DEFINITIONS

Procedures

The Directive defines a procedure as: 'any use of an animal for scientific or educational purposes, which may cause the animal a level of pain, suffering, distress or lasting harm equivalent to, or higher than, that caused by the introduction of a needle in accordance with good veterinary practice'. This includes the creation and maintenance of any genetically altered animal lines that may result in pain or distress as per the above definition. Each procedure may consist of several stages or techniques for a single scientific purpose, which is then counted as *one* procedure and reported in the year it was completed.

Reuse

Reuse means that having fully recovered from a completed procedure, and having been certified by a veterinarian as having returned to full health, that animal can then be enrolled on another project.

Actual severity

At the end of the use of an animal on a procedure, the impact of the procedure must be determined and reported as 'actual severity'. This means that the *highest severity* that an animal may have experienced throughout the course of their time on procedure (rather than the severity at the end or the average severity throughout) must be recorded. Therefore it is based on the real impact of the procedure, rather than any predicted impact. The legislation defines four categories of actual severity, in order of least to most harmful, as: non-recovery, mild, moderate and severe.

Non-recovery: This means the entire procedure is carried out under general anaesthesia and at the end the animal is humanely euthanised rather than being allowed to wake up.

Mild: Any pain or suffering experienced by the animal is only slight, minor or temporary so the animal recovers in a short period of time. This would include an injection, a short period of social isolation, or non-invasive imaging under sedation or anaesthesia (e.g. MRI scanning).

Moderate: Any suffering experienced by the animal is short-term moderate pain, suffering or distress, or long-lasting mild pain, suffering or distress, or involves a moderate impairment to their well-being. This would include surgery performed under general anaesthesia, repeated injections or blood tests and the induction of tumours that cause moderate impairment to well-being.

Severe: Severe procedures indicate a major departure from the animal's usual state of health or well-being, and cause long-lasting moderate pain, suffering or distress, or short term severe pain. This might include toxicity testing under legislation where fatalities may occur, surgical procedures that cause severe post-operative pain and the breeding of animals with serious genetic disorders.

It should also be noted that procedures that involve severe pain, suffering or distress that are *long-lasting* are prohibited under the legislation.